

Introduction

Game theory has ~~existed~~ existed for millennia ~~and has been~~ in-applied to many ~~forms~~ situations~~-,~~ ranging from historical events (such as ~~Spains'~~ Spain's rebellion ~~on-against~~ Rome in 75 BCE ([McCain_2010]-]), biological models (such as natural selection), market environments (such as ~~oligopoly~~ oligopolies), politics (such as election bidding), and computer science ~~to name few~~. This field of applied mathematics captures ~~behaviour~~ behavior in strategic situations (called ~~-games-~~), wherein the success (payoff) of the choice made by an individual (the ~~(player-)~~) is dependent on the ~~choices made~~ choices made by others² (~~other~~ players) (Myerson, 1991)–.

Comment [A1]: Using 'and has' here makes the two verb phrases equivalent.

Comment [A2]: This phrase is not needed, it is more conversational. It is always best to use formal style of writing for academic manuscripts.

The three main mathematical models of games are the *extensive* form, the *strategic* form, and the *coalition* form. The bases of difference in ~~one each~~ of these models ~~is are~~ the amount of detail provided: the players, their preferences, their information, the strategic actions available to them, and how these influence the outcome².

In this paper, we describe the *strategic* form and study its phenomena in a case of business management—~~—~~labor negotiation at an automobile factory.

The *strategic* form, also called the normal ~~of a~~ game, has ~~much little~~ fewer details compared with the extensive form. ~~For In the~~ extensive form, the ~~position~~ positions and ~~move~~ moves of the game are closely followed, and the rules define the probable outcomes in planned or random moves ~~—(gambling-)~~. By contrast, in the *strategic* form, the ~~players~~ players' choice, i.e., a ~~strategy~~ selected from a set of possible strategies, determines the outcome, i.e., *payoff*. All players choose a *strategy*, and ~~once~~ after the choices are revealed, the game ends with each player getting some *payoff*. Each player's payoff is influenced ~~by~~ by each ~~the player's~~ players' choice ~~—~~ choices. Payoffs can be quite complex ~~entity~~ entities. For ~~our~~ model, we represent payoffs by numerical values. Hence, we assume that the numerical payoffs depend on ~~the~~ choices of all the players.

Comment [A3]: This should be plural to match the subject 'payoffs.'

Three objects define the *strategic* form of a game: 1) the set of players, $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; 2) the sequence of the players' strategy sets, X_1, \dots, X_n ; and 3) the sequence of player's pay-off functions, $f(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, f_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$.

Comment [A4]: Sentences should not begin with numerals.

SAMPLE